

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/764,474	KAUFMAN, MICHAEL J.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Douglas J. Theisen	1724	

All Participants:

(1) Douglas J. Theisen.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Warren Edmonds.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 November 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11, 13, 15

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The dependency of claim 15 was discussed to correct a lack of antecedent basis in the claim for "said housing". The suggestion to add "said" before "first end" in claims 11 and 13 was discussed. Also discussed were a few word choice changes in the specification .